Neoproterozoic glacial origin of the Great Unconformity

C. Brenhin Keller, Jon M. Husson, Ross N. Mitchell, William F. Bottke, Thomas M. Geron, Patrick Boehnke, Elizabeth A. Bell, Nicholas L. Swanson-Hysell, and Shanan E. Peters

The Great Unconformity, a profound gap in Earth's stratigraphic record often evident below the base of the Cambrian system, has remained among the most enigmatic field observations in Earth science for over a century. While long associated directly or indirectly with the occurrence of the earliest complex animal fossils, a conclusive explanation for the formation and global extent of the Great Unconformity has remained elusive. Here we show that the Great Unconformity is associated with a set of large global oxygen and hafnium isotope excursions in magmatic zircon that suggest a late Neoproterozoic crustal erosion and sediment subduction event of unprecedented scale. These excursions, the Great Unconformity, preservational irregularities in the terrestrial bolide impact record, and the first-order pattern of Phanerozoic unconformities are associated with a set of large global shifts in preserved continental sediment abundance near the base of the Cambrian. This transition often takes the form of a spatially abrupt and temporally correlated exposure surface known as the Great Unconformity, a lacuna of both time and mass (1–5). While often deeply buried, the Great Unconformity is exposed in areas of relief such as the Grand Canyon of the southwestern United States, where it was first recognized by Powell et al. (1), most dramatically at the sharp nonconformity between the Paleoproterozoic Vishnu Schist and Cambrian Tapeats Sandstone (6). The ubiquity of this pattern—undeformed clastic sediments deposited directly and unconformably atop Precambrian basement—was subsequently recognized by Walcott (2). Observing a dearth of conformable sections spanning the lower boundary of the Cambrian, Walcott proposed a “Lipalian” interval of continental exposure and erosion, which would have restricted any fossil precursors of the Cambrian fauna to the deep ocean basins. Subsequent investigation has revealed a more complete Neoproterozoic, including fossiliferous strata and conformable boundary sections; yet the observation of a profound and extensive (if discontinuous) pre-Cambrian unconformity remains (refs. 4 and 5 and Dataset S1). Here we attempt to unite disparate evidence including the zircon Hf and O isotope records, the terrestrial bolide impact record, and the record of continental sediment coverage in the context of this widespread unconformity.

A Discontinuous Global Unconformity

The extent and magnitude of secular variation in preserved sediment abundance across the Proterozoic–Phanerozoic boundary were first quantified by Ronov et al. (ref. 4 and Dataset S2), estimating preserved sediment volume flux over the past 1.6 Gy from mapped sedimentary basin areas and stratigraphic thicknesses. The resulting temporal pattern has been subsequently refined in Laurentia by the Macrostrat database (7–9) which (within North America) provides higher-resolution temporal and spatial constraints. Together these records corroborate the presence of a large global shift in preserved continental sediment abundance near the base of the Cambrian (Fig. 1A and SI Appendix, Figs. S1–S3).

The observed increase from roughly 0.2 km$^3$/y of preserved sedimentary rock in the Proterozoic to ~1 km$^3$/y in the Phanerozoic (Fig. 1A) might be attributed in principle to either constructive (faster sediment accumulation in the Phanerozoic) or destructive (erosion of Proterozoic strata) processes. However, the abrupt nature of the observed transition presents difficulties

Significance

It has long been observed that the sequence of sedimentary rocks deposited in the past half-billion years often sharply overlies older igneous or metamorphic basement at an erosional surface known as the Great Unconformity. We provide evidence that this unconformity may record rapid erosion during Neoproterozoic “snowball Earth” glaciations. We show that the extent of Phanerozoic sedimentation in shallow continental seas can be accurately reproduced by modeling the accommodation space produced by the proposed glacial erosion, underlying the importance of glaciation as a means for lowering erosional base level. These results provide constraints on the sedimentary and geochemical environment in which the first multicellular animals evolved and diversified in the “Cambrian explosion” following the unconformity.


Conflict of interest statement: The editor, P.F.H., is an adjunct professor in the same department as J.M.H.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

This open access article is distributed under Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CC BY).

Data deposition: Code for this article has been deposited in Github, https://github.com/brenhinkeller/GreatUnconformity.

1To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: cekeller@dartmouth.edu.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1804350116/-/DCSupplemental.
for either endmember model. The estimated volume of preserved continental sediment (both in North America and globally) does not follow an exponential abundance curve, as would result from a standard survivorship model (10). Instead, the Proterozoic and Phanerozoic preserved sediment records are individually roughly constant with age—suggesting little influence from erosion on epicratonic marine sediment survival at most times in Earth’s history (7–9). Were the step plateau of sediment are absent from the continental crust and deposited instead in the deep ocean basins—either gradually, throughout the Proterozoic due to a diminished sediment storage capacity of the continents in a constructive model, or rapidly during an interval of enhanced erosion near the Proterozoic–Phanerozoic boundary in a destructive model. Indeed, before the plate tectonic revolution, the missing sediments from Walcott’s “Liassic interval” were generally expected to reside in the ocean basins (2, 20); their absence, along with the young age of the ocean crust, was considered a significant point of evidence in favor of seafloor spreading and plate tectonics (20). In a plate tectonic model, much sediment accumulated on the oceanic crust is consumed by subduction—presently at a rate of about 1.65 km$^3$/y (21). Due to its low density and fusibility, however, subducted sediment in the mantle wedge is often incorporated into new arc magmas (21, 22); consequently, a chemical or isotopic signature of subducted sediment (if sufficiently voluminous) may be preserved within the igneous record.

**Zircon Hf and O Isotope Systematics**

One isotopic system amenable to the detection of such a sediment subduction signature is the radioactive hafnium isotope system in zircon. In this system, $^{176}$Hf is produced by the decay of $^{176}$Lu with a 36-Gy half-life. Since lutetium is more compatible in Earth’s mantle than hafnium, the mantle evolves over time toward more radiogenic Hf isotope compositions (e.g., higher $^{177}$Hf/$^{176}$Hf) than the crust; this evolution is reported in terms of $\epsilon_{\text{Hf}}$ or parts per 10,000 relative to the isotopic composition of average chondrite (CHUR) (24) at any given time. Notably, the common accessory mineral zircon crystallizes with low Lu/Hf and is readily datable by U-Pb geochronology, permitting the accurate calculation of initial Hf isotopic composition at the time of zircon formation. Due to extremely slow diffusion in the dense zircon crystal lattice, zircons typically retain their closed-system isotopic and elemental composition after crystallization, if not extensively metamict (25). Moreover, zircon is produced most voluminously by felsic magmatism (26) particularly in continental arcs (27). Consequently, the erosion of a sufficiently large mass of felsic crust may be expected to increase both the proportion of sediment-filled trenches and the global rate of sediment subduction, producing a negative Hf isotopic excursion in average global zircon $\epsilon_{\text{Hf}}$, considering the strong correlation between trench sediment thickness and proterozoic Eolus granite (Fig. 1), a pluton with an emplacement depth of approximately 10–15 km (3–4.5 kbar) (12), requiring the erosion of over one-third of the nominal thickness of the continental crust over some subset of the ~0.9 Gy of geologic history missing from this section.

Posing an additional conundrum in either scenario, the Phanerozoic–Proterozoic boundary is rather unexceptional from a mantle perspective, with no major variation in mantle potential temperature or tectonic style evident in the continental record (13–16). Consequently, it is difficult to conceive of a model where tectonic sediment supply and basin formation increase profoundly as a result of Neoproterozoic solid-Earth processes alone or one in which dramatically increased tectonic exhumation drives unprecedented erosion. Moreover, while the Rodinian supercontinent cycle features a number of noteworthy irregularities—including extroverted supercontinent assembly (17) and an unusual ore deposit profile (18, 19)—it is unclear how such irregularities could contribute to the formation of the Great Unconformity and associated global preserved sediment abundance variations in the absence of significant excursions in mantle potential temperature.

In either a constructive or a destructive endmember scenario, if global sediment supply from tectonic uplift is held constant near Phanerozoic levels, then the depressed Proterozoic sediment volume in Fig. 1 suggests that on the order of $10^9$ km$^3$ of sediment are absent from the continental crust and deposited instead in the deep ocean basins—either gradually, throughout the Proterozoic due to a diminished sediment storage capacity of the continents in a constructive model, or rapidly during an interval of enhanced erosion near the Proterozoic–Phanerozoic boundary in a destructive model. Indeed, before the plate tectonic revolution, the missing sediments from Walcott’s “Liassic interval” were generally expected to reside in the ocean basins (2, 20); their absence, along with the young age of the ocean crust, was considered a significant point of evidence in favor of seafloor spreading and plate tectonics (20). In a plate tectonic model, much sediment accumulated on the oceanic crust is consumed by subduction—presently at a rate of about 1.65 km$^3$/y (21). Due to its low density and fusibility, however, subducted sediment in the mantle wedge is often incorporated into new arc magmas (21, 22); consequently, a chemical or isotopic signature of subducted sediment (if sufficiently voluminous) may be preserved within the igneous record.

**Fig. 1.** The Great Unconformity. (A) Global preserved sedimentary rock volume increases by more than a factor of 5 across the Phanerozoic–Proterozoic boundary in both the estimate of Ronov et al. (4) and a global scaling of North American units from the Macrostrat database by the area ratio of global land area to North American land area (a factor of 6.1) according to Husson and Peters (8), excluding recent alluvium. (B) The Cambrian Ignacio quartzite overlies the Mesoproterozoic (~1.35 Ga) Eolus granite at a sharp penepplanar nonconformity in the Needle Mountains, CO.

Alternatively, a purely constructive interpretation would require a roughly fivefold increase in sediment supply and/or continental accommodation space, sustained throughout the Phanerozoic. However, the observed Great Unconformity is profoundly erosional in nature, characteristically juxtaposing fluvial sediment with crystalline basement that was formed at great depth in the crust. For instance, as shown in Fig. 1B, the Cambrian Ignacio quartzite is deposited directly upon the Mesoproterozoic granite.
arc zircon εHf observed in more recent zircons (SI Appendix, Fig. S8).

To quantify crustal average εHf evolution over the past ~4.4 Gy, we study a dataset of 29,523 zircon U-Pb age and Hf and/or O isotopic analyses using the weighted bootstrap resampling method of Keller and Schoene (13). While sampling and preservation biases are inescapable in the geologic record, this approach accurately propagates uncertainty in age and composition of each sample, while mitigating sampling bias via resampling weights inversely proportional to temporal sample density (13, 16, 28). The result is a continuous record of mean εHf in zircon and 2 SE uncertainty of the mean for 90-My age bins between 0 Ga and 4.35 Ga (Fig. 2A).

Average initial zircon εHf remains broadly near zero throughout all of geological history (Fig. 2A), close to the isotopic composition of a reservoir with chondritic Lu/Hf. Variations in zircon εHf at the global scale have been traditionally attributed to the supercontinent cycle (29–31). Indeed, moderate fluctuations in this global mean zircon εHf occur throughout Earth’s history on plate tectonic timescales, with significant spectral power at Wilson cycle periods of ~500–700 My (SI Appendix, Fig. S10). However, all other variations are eclipsed in magnitude by a single negative anomaly which begins in the earliest Cryogenian and persists into the Paleozoic, representing by far the most dramatic excursion in the preserved zircon Hf isotope record.

Alone, this Hf isotope anomaly requires the recycling of old, felsic crust. There are many potential mechanisms through which this may occur, but if such remelting is to represent a significant fraction of the global magmatic flux, thermal constraints favor a lower crustal or mantle setting; in this context we consider two endmember scenarios. If recycling were to occur by, e.g., remelting of hot deep crust by basalt pooling near the crust–mantle boundary, the oxygen isotope composition of the resulting partial melt should largely reflect that of the preexisting igneous continental crust. If, however, recycled crust has instead been exposed at or near Earth’s surface, subjected to hydrothermal alteration, or processed through the hydrosphere (as in the case of subducting eroded crust), a positive oxygen isotope anomaly reflecting low-temperature aqueous alteration may coincide with the observed Hf excursion. Fig. 2 reveals just such a covariance; a moving-window covariance estimate confirms the visually evident correlation between the Cryogenian and Ediacaran zircon O and Hf isotope records. In principle, such a correlation is independent of the geologic process by which sediment is recycled into new magmas. However, nonarc magmas produced by sediment melting are a small proportion of global magmatism (Himalayan leucogranites, for instance (32)—but these represent a very small proportion of Cenozoic magmatism, and even here, sedimentary material is transported only to depths and temperatures conducive to anatexis by the subduction and underplating of the Indian continent under Eurasia).

Considering sediment subduction to be the dominant mechanism of recycling sediment into new magmas, as suggested by crustal mass balance (21), a more specific indicator of sediment subduction is provided by the product of the calculated εHf-δ18O covariance with the average slope of the standardized Hf and O isotope records. This product may be considered crudely analogous to the derivative of sediment subduction rate (Materials and Methods and SI Appendix, Fig. S9), highlighting intervals where both isotope systems indicate consistently increasing (positive product) or decreasing (negative product) recycling of sufficiently altered felsic crust. The results (Fig. 2D) reveal a distinct pairwise anomaly near the time of the Great Unconformity across the Proterozoic–Phanerozoic boundary, with an unprecedented increase in the recycling of continental crust into new magmas in the late Neoproterozoic, followed by a largely Phanerozoic recovery.

While the timing of the observed negative Hf isotope anomaly is potentially consistent with erosion and subduction of crust elided by the Great Unconformity, the required volume of...
sediment would be large. Using generally conservative estimates for average crust and mantle εHf and continental magmatic flux, we calculate (Materials and Methods) that the observed Hf isotope excursion would suggest the recycling of some 2.4 × 10^7 km^3 of average crust, corresponding to the erosion of 1.6 km of crust globally if distributed evenly across the continents. Accounting for the low recycling efficiency of subducted Hf into new arc magmas—which is poorly known but likely less than 50%, considering the immobility of Hf in slab fluids (33)—would suggest even larger volumes of subducted crust, ~3.2 km or greater.

### Neoproterozoic Glaciation and Erosion

Erosional unconformities are common throughout the geologic record and often have a plausible tectonic cause. The same could be said locally for specific exposures of the Great Unconformity (6). However, it is unclear how any local tectonic explanation could produce the observed global variations in preserved sediment abundance (Fig. 1) or crustal recycling (Fig. 2). Neoproterozoic glacial erosion (34) provides a simple mechanism which may reconcile rapid global erosion and sediment subduction with the constraints of the sedimentary record. Glaciers are inherently among the most erosive agents in their ability to alter erosive base level: Glaciation promotes continuous denudation both indirectly by lowering global sea level (exposing the continents to subaerial erosion) and directly through subglacial erosion. While rates are variable, in the presence of a large topographic gradient modern subglacial erosion has proved sufficiently erosive to effectively limit global mountain height, evidently outstripping tectonic uplift rates on the order of kilometers per million years (35).

Continental glaciation extended to low paleolatitudes in three well-established Neoproterozoic intervals: the Sturtian (717–660 Ma), Marinoan (641–635 Ma), and Gaskiers (~580 Ma)—the first two envisioned as global “snowball” events (36, 37) and the Gaskiers as an extensive, but not pan-glacial, event (38). While ice sheet thickness on a snowball Earth is imperfectly constrained and likely heterogeneous (0–6 km) (39–41), glaciation on all continents analogous to that currently found in Antarctica (~2 km average thickness) would lower sea level by ~787 m before isostatic adjustment. After isostatic and local gravitational adjustments, modeled freeboard for ice-covered Neoproterozoic continents is variable but positive, with global averages of 400–650 m for each glacial episode (39). Moreover, if not otherwise constrained by air or water temperature, ice base level may extend up to 0.89 km below sea level per kilometer of ice sheet thickness. Such a configuration would provide a large gravitational potential energy gradient to drive erosion, while isostatically permitting more than 12 km of vertical erosion of typical continental crust by a 2-km ice sheet.

The extent of ice-free ocean available to sustain hydrological cycling during such global glaciation is controversial (41, 44). However, precipitation rates driven by sublimation alone appear sufficient for the development of localized wet-based ice streams with high basal sliding velocities and consequent erosive potential (40); evaporation from cryoconite ponds [a notable sink for solar radiation in a snowball state (45)] might further enhance hydrological cycling. Much of the characteristic field evidence for Neoproterozoic glaciation is unmistakably erosional, including striated pavements, striated and exotic clasts and dropstones, and preserved glacial diamictites (36, 46, 47). Although not always well exposed, direct unconformable contact between Neoproterozoic glacial sediments and Archean to Neoproterozoic crystalline basement may be found on most continents (48).

While the Great Unconformity surface in Fig. 1B allows some ~0.9 Gy for exhumation of crystalline basement to the surface, other sections may be found where a basement unconformity directly superposes Neoproterozoic glacial diamictites with crystalline basement only some tens to hundreds of million years older. In the Mirbat region of Oman, for instance, Sturtian glacial diamictites and syn-glacial sediments unconformably overlie a juvenile crystalline basement complex with ages ranging from ~810 Ma to as young as 696.7 ± 0.5 Ma (49–51), raising the possibility of exhumation of syn-Sturtian phaneritic igneous rocks to the surface during the glacial episode. In sections with less exceptional preservation, juvenile clasts in Neoproterozoic diamict may provide additional evidence for direct glacial erosion of young crystalline basement: For instance, Sturtian glacial deposits of the Rapitan Group contain granitic basement clasts as young as 755 ± 18 Ma (52). Since exploitation of a gravitational potential energy gradient facilitates rapid glacial erosion (35), glacial erosion of young basement may be concentrated in areas of preexisting topography. Critically, Neoproterozoic glacial erosion need not be spatially uniform to produce the observed sediment subduction signature—nor should we expect uniform glacial erosion considering the negligible erosional potential of cold-based ice, the localized erosion of outlet ice streams, and the preservation (often in areas of tectonic subsidence) of relatively complete sections lacking appreciable glacial erosion (e.g., ref. 53).

Modern glacial erosion rates are highly variable, estimated to span some four orders of magnitude from ~0.01 mm/y to ~100 mm/y (54). For comparison, 4 km of erosion over 64 Ma of Neoproterozoic glaciation would require an average erosion rate of only 0.0625 mm/y—nearly two orders of magnitude slower than recent direct estimates for the modern Greenland ice sheet (55); while some such estimates (if reversible processes are involved) must be corrected for timescale dependence, the required rate is nonetheless well within the range of physical feasibility for glacial erosion. Moreover, while Sturtian and Marinoan glacial deposits evidence accumulation rates 3–10 times slower than modern equivalents (45, 56), accommodation space—not depositional process or sediment supply—is likely the rate-limiting variable at applicable (>5 My) timescales (56); in the absence of such accommodation, sediment will not accumulate on the continents, but rather in the ocean basins below erosional base level. Consistent with an accommodation-limited model, Neoproterozoic diamictites may reach kilometer-scale thicknesses where directly accommodated by local syndepositional tectonic subsidence—accommodation must be considered as a competition between subsidence and regional upland erosion: Local thermal or tectonic subsidence may be thwarted by isostatic rebound from regional erosion (SI Appendix, Fig. S11).

Delivery of eroded sediment to the deep ocean basins is a critical requirement for the production of the observed Great Unconformity (where much of the eroded crust is not found elsewhere on the continents) and is consistent with predictions for Neoproterozoic glacial erosion. During pan-glacial conditions, the locus of deposition should shift to deeper waters as a result of (i) lowered erosional base level; (ii) direct transport of eroded sediment by erosive outlet glaciers [such as those responsible for the Chuos paleovalley (47)], which in the present day are often associated with overdeepened fjords that extend to the edge of the continental shelf; and (iii) settling of fine glacial flour in deep ocean basins. In more simplistic terms, when all continental area is below ice base level during a snowball glaciation, most sediment is transported entirely off the continental shelves and into the ocean basins, where it is ultimately subducted—just as suggested by the observed HF and O isotope records (Fig. 2).

Direct and indirect implications are widespread when considering a geological event as nonuniformitarian as the proposed kilometer-scale Cryogenian erosion, resulting in numerous testable predictions. For instance, crust exhumed by large-scale erosion cools as thermal diffusion adjusts to the new relative position of the surficial boundary condition. A range of existing
thermochronologic inversions, although geographically variable, appear permissively consistent with \( \sim 100-300 \, ^\circ C \) (\(~3-9 \, \text{km at a} \ 33 \, ^\circ C/\text{km geothermal gradient}\) of potentially rapid Neoproterozoic crustal exhumation (57–60). Further analyses are required to conduct a systematic global survey of the long-term thermal history of the continents, since a large proportion of existing thermochronologic data is focused on areas of more recent tectonic activity that are unlikely to preserve a record of Neoproterozoic exhumation.

One specific testable prediction concerns the terrestrial bolide impact record: Impact craters are surficial features, subject to destruction by exhumation and erosion. Since impact craters are shallow relative to their diameter, kilometer-scale Neoproterozoic erosion, if widespread, should significantly reduce the preservation potential of all but the largest impact craters. Fig. 3A shows the record of known terrestrial impact craters larger than 10 km diameter with ages known within \( \pm 75 \, \text{My} \), updated from the Planetary and Space Science Center (PASSC) compilation (42). While the abundance of >10-km impact craters closely follows exposed bedrock area for the past 700 My, only two craters matching the criteria of Fig. 3 precede the onset of Sturtian glaciation, both deeply eroded remnants of massive craters: Sudbury and Vredefort, eroded to depths of 4.2–5.8 km and 8–11 km, respectively (61, 62). This trend is particularly striking when considered as a function of crater density per unit area (Fig. 3A and SI Appendix, Fig. S12), with an abrupt truncation of <100-km diameter craters before 700 Ma and <10-km diameter craters before 600 Ma—temporally consistent with progressive Neoproterozoic glacial erosion.

More qualitatively, we may extend our analysis of preservation bias from the bolide impact record to consider a wide range of geological features with an affinity for the shallow crust. For instance, we may predict that any mineral assemblage which cannot survive prolonged low-grade metamorphism in a normal continental geotherm should be less abundant before the Sturtian. This prediction appears consistent with the noted absence of thermodynamically fragile (U)HP/LT assemblages such as jadeites and glaucophane eclogites before \( \sim 700 \, \text{Ma} \) (63, 64), although not uniquely so (65, 66). The same prediction appears likewise consistent with the strong (and apparently stepwise) “preservational bias toward [mineral] deposits of the Neoproterozoic Eon” reported by Liu et al. (page 2 in ref. 19).

Consequences of Rapid Crustal Erosion

The timing of Neoproterozoic glaciation is remarkably consistent with both the observed zircon isotopic excursions and continental sediment coverage history at the scale of Fig. 2. This discontinuous record is an expected consequence of the stepwise preservation potential imposed by focusing extensive, if nonuniform, kilometer-scale continental denudation into a few discrete episodes of intense glacial erosion amidst a background of comparably negligible (<2.5 m/Ma) cratonic erosion (67). Consequently, the observed sediment coverage record may be considered in part a discretization of the exponential survivorship curve (10) that would result from continuous erosion (e.g., SI Appendix, Fig. S4).

In this discretization, each glacial epoch acts as a filter in the crustal record, removing some proportion of older sediments via erosion. Since erosional surfaces are subject to capture by subsequent erosion, the most dramatic unconformity (and largest step in preserved sediment abundance) may be inherited by the most recent glaciation, consistent with Fig. 2E. However, such erosion does not preclude a constructive contribution to the Great Unconformity; to the contrary, it requires one. Continental thinning through erosion directly decreases continental freeboard, raising relative sea level and providing accommodation space for sediment accumulation. While this new accommodation space may be temporarily moderated by thermal buoyancy given erosional advection of the continental geotherm, continental erosion nonetheless inevitably leads to increased continental sediment storage, as proposed by ref. 8.

To quantify the depositional consequences of rapid Neoproterozoic erosion, we constructed a 1D model of continental freeboard, combining the effects of erosion, isostasy, thermal subsidence, and sediment accumulation over the past 800 My. Using either the Neoproterozoic net sedimentation rate from Fig. 1A or a constant assumed rate of 0.9 km\(^2\)/yr, varying the model magnitude of Neoproterozoic erosion directly influences initial freeboard via mass balance (SI Appendix, Fig. S13). Near-modern freeboard at 750 Ma is reproduced with 3.4–4.5 km Neoproterozoic glacial erosion, producing in each case a nearly 250-m isostatic excursion in relative sea level (Fig. 4A). Using a modern hypsometric profile (SI Appendix, Fig. S15) to convert from sea level to continental submergence fraction as illustrated in Fig. 4B, this 250-m excursion corresponds remarkably well with the observed macrostratigraphic record of marine sediment coverage.

The first-order success of this 1D freeboard model prediction is particularly remarkable considering that the model includes no
consideration of local tectonics. However, one feature remains problematic: the time delay between the end of Neoproterozoic glaciation and the Cambrian increase in preserved sediment abundance. Potential causes for this misfit may fall into three broad categories:

i) Erosional loss of the Ediacaran record, glacial or otherwise, provides the most direct mechanism. Maintaining low preserved sediment abundance over the 92 My of the Ediacaran (and particularly the 39 My from the Gaskiers to the base of the Cambrian) through erosional means would be trivial compared with the kilometer-scale erosion we propose for the Cryogenian. While a late Ediacaran glaciation has been suggested (68, 69), precise geochronological constraints are lacking and key observations [e.g., Cloudina in the matrix of an Ediacaran diamictite (70)] have not been replicated.

ii) Nondeposition resulting from sediment starvation may be expected if glacial peneplanation (71) sufficiently reduces the available topography; reduced sediment supply could persist on tectonic timescales until orogenesis provides a renewed clastic input. However, there are Ediacaran basins which are not sediment starved.

iii) Chronological bias may result in an underestimation in the volume and extent of Ediacaran sediments if ambiguous units are mistakenly assigned to the Cambrian. The residual currency of the phrase “Precambrian basement” testifies to the historical association of the first sediments above crystalline basement to the Cambrian system. However, we hope that this known problem (72) has been largely corrected over recent decades.

While none of the above hypotheses alone is entirely satisfactory, all imply a range of testable predictions that may be better understood with future work.

Inferences and Conclusions

The first quantification of continental submergence by Egyed (76) indicated dramatic emergence throughout the Phanerozoic (i.e., declining marine coverage), as in Fig. 4B. While the original interpretation of this record has been obviated by plate tectonics (77), the paradigm of monotonic continental emergence as a result of global cooling has persisted (78, 79). We suggest that this paradigm must be reevaluated. The correspondence between the modal elevation of the continents and global sea level (SI Appendix, Fig. S15) is not coincidental, but rather a direct consequence of subaerial erosion on a tectonically active Earth (80); given active orogenesis and felsic continental crust, any buoyant continental mass with negative freeboard must thicken by orogenesis and sedimentation until it reaches zero or slightly positive average freeboard, if not otherwise limited by delamination or gravitational collapse. The negative continental freeboard which enabled extensive continental coverage and subsequent recovery (i.e., emergence) throughout the Phanerozoic (9, 76) may thus be an anomaly enabled by glacial erosion below ice-free oceanic base level.

While nonconformity between sediment and crystalline basement is ubiquitous on all continents, it is highly diachronous (6). This diachronity of amalgamated unconformities has helped to obscure the global significance of Neoproterozoic glacial erosion.

Fig. 4. Isostatic global sea level and continental coverage model. (A) Temporal evolution in average continental freeboard driven by erosion, subsequent thermal subsidence, and sediment accumulation. Neoproterozoic glacial erosion is distributed in proportion to the duration of each glacial interval. (B) Corresponding modeled continental coverage fraction assuming a constant hypsometric profile, compared with the observed North American record from Macrostrat (7–9) and Ronov’s (23) global record of Phanerozoic marine sediment coverage.

While nonconformity between sediment and crystalline basement is ubiquitous on all continents, it is highly diachronous (6). This diachronity of amalgamated unconformities has helped to obscure the global significance of Neoproterozoic glacial erosion.

Fig. 5. Capture of the Great Unconformity by Laurentide glacial erosion, illustrated by the correspondence (73) between Precambrian basement exposure as mapped in the Geologic Map of North America (74) and the extent of the Laurentide ice sheet at 18 ka as estimated by Licciardi et al. (75). Note the survival of Phanerozoic cover under the ice divide near Hudson’s Bay, where basal sliding velocities are low.
Proterozoic or Archean basement is commonly exposed at the surface even today (Fig. 5)—an ongoing Great Unconformity. However, exhumation at such sites likely results from multiple ancient (e.g., Neoproterozoic) unconformities collapsed, captured, and deepened by more recent erosion. A remarkable correspondence has been noted between Precambrian bedrock exposure and glaciation (Fig. 5); virtually all nonorogenic exposures of Precambrian basement have been subject to glaciation during either the Late Paleozoic Ice Age or the Quaternary (73, 81) (SI Appendix, Fig. S16). In this context, we suggest that the present icehouse epoch may display comparatively high continental erosion rates (82) relative to the Phanerozoic background, reconciling unsustainable modern erosion rates of 0.05–0.5 mm/yr (i.e., 50–500 km/My) with the survival of Archean crust and lithosphere (67).

Considering the glaciogenic model for the Great Unconformity proposed here, zircon Hf and O isotopes may represent the first paleoerosion proxy preserved in Earth’s igneous record, preserving a signal of surface earth processes over billion-year timescales. In this context, we note that a set of smaller but correlated Paleoproterozoic excursions in the zircon Hf and O isotope records circa 2.2 Ga appears following a known period of Paleoproterozoic glaciation (83). Given the lack of geologic evidence for glacial deposits between the ~2.2-Ga Rietfontein (83) and ~0.72-Ga Sturtian (37) glaciations, Earth may have experienced a prolonged period of weathering and regolith development (84) with comparatively little marine sediment accumulation on the continents due to a lack of glaciation-derived accommodation space. Thus, Neoproterozoic global glaciation may have been responsible for initiating a Phanerozoic cycle of continental sedimentation with enhanced Paleozoic continental inundation and sediment accumulation relative to the preceding late Proterozoic. We conclude that the Phanerozoic sedimentary record is best explained by a Great Unconformity of inherently coupled erosive and constructive genesis, with Neoproterozoic glaciation governing the subsequent history of continental freeboard and sediment accumulation (Fig. 4B). As such, the environmental and geochemical changes that led to the diversification of multicellular animals (5) may be considered a direct consequence of Neoproterozoic glaciation.

Materials and Methods

To investigate anomalies in the continental rock record near the Proterozoic–Phanerozoic boundary, we assemble a range of stratigraphic, geochemical, and geological datasets. Stratigraphic data for North America are obtained from the Macrostrat database (macrostrat.org), originally produced by Peters (7) by digitization of the the American Association of Petroleum Geologists Correlation of Stratigraphic Units of North America (COSUNA) charts (85). This stratigraphic record of the Great Unconformity is interpreted alongside compiled zircon Hf and O isotope geochemistry, as well as terrestrial and lunar bolide impact datasets. Finally, stratigraphic and geochemical results are integrated and interpreted in the context of an isotopic and thermal model of continental freeboard. Computational source code and data are freely available at https://github.com/brenhto/keller/GreatUnconformity.

Zircon Isotope Systematics and Monte Carlo Analysis. We compiled zircon Hf and O isotopic compositions along with U-Pb ages for igneous and detrital zircons from the preexisting datasets of Belousova et al. (86), Dhuime et al. (87), and Spencer et al. (88)/Payne et al. (89), augmented by some further compilation of literature data, resulting in a dataset of 35,368 analyses from all continents (SI Appendix, Figs. S5 and S6), of which 29,523 are unique. To obtain a maximally representative temporal record of zircon Hf and O isotopic composition, we applied weighted-bootstrap resampling following the approach of Keller and Schoene (13). To assess the importance of sediment subduction, we examine the covariance between the zircon Hf isotope signature of felsic crustal recycling and the zircon O isotope signature of sediment recycling, following a procedure illustrated in SI Appendix, Fig. S3. First, to remove any scale dependence or extraneous covariance from long-term evolution (as opposed to distinct crustal recycling episodes), both isotopic records are detrended and normalized to unit variance, with the $^{176}$Hf isotopic signal inverted such that increasing recycling is positive for both systems (SI Appendix, Fig. 59 A and B). The resulting covariance is illustrated in SI Appendix, Fig. 59C. This raw covariance is positive where the Hf and O signals either increase or decrease in concert. Both the erosion and recovery of the Neoproterozoic isotopic anomaly yield large positive covariance peaks. Since we wish to distinguish between erosion (increasing sediment subduction) and recovery (decreasing sediment subduction back to baseline), we additionally examine the product of this covariance with the average slope of the two Hf and O isotope signals (SI Appendix, Fig. 59D). Since the average slope tends to zero in the case of negative covariance, the covariance–slope product (SI Appendix, Fig. 59E) emphasizes large positive covariance co-occurring with either increasing or decreasing sediment subduction; individual subduction events thus appear as characteristic pairwise features with a positive excursion peak immediately followed by a negative recovery peak. Two such events are evident: a Paleoproterozoic pair with an excursion beginning circa 2,200 Ma and a much larger Neoproterozoic pair with an initial excursion coincident with the onset of the Sturtian glaciation (~717 Ma [37, 91]), a nadir at ~560 Ma, and an ~220-Ma recovery that is complete by ~340 Ma. Notably, the essentially immediate (on gigayear scales) onset of the excursion following Sturtian glaciation is consistent with the fast recycling of sediment into new magmas (~7–9 Ma from erosion to eruption) suggested by cosmogenic 10Be anomalies in modern arc magmas (92)—while the timescale of recovery is entirely consistent with the ~200-Ma characteristic timescale for complete turnover of the oceanic crust (and thus complete subduction of any accumulated sediments into the ocean basins).

Given the observed magnitude of the global Hf isotopic excursion, we may estimate the minimum required volume of subducted crust. Taking the compiled zircon Hf dataset as an estimate of average $^{176}$Hf of new igneous crust throughout Earth’s history, we may calculate the average crustal $\epsilon$-Hf at any subsequent time accounting for Hf ingrowth in accordance with Lu/Hf ratios for each whole-rock sample in the dataset of Keller and Schoene (13), obtaining Neoproterozoic values ranging from ~33.7 to 717 Ma to ~34.9 $\epsilon$ at 635 Ma. Since a more negative crustal endmember will result in lower estimated volume of subducted crust, we choose ~35 $\epsilon$ as a minimum value. This estimate is conservative since the zircon record samples only zircon-bearing magmas, which are predominantly felsic (26) and may exhibit more negative initial $\epsilon$-Hf than average crust due to a greater contribution from assimilation of preexisting crust than, e.g., a primitive basalt. Meanwhile, as the most positive reservoir in $\epsilon$-Hf space, the evolution of the depleted mantle may be traced as the upper limit of the compiled $\epsilon$-Hf field through time, where $n$ is the number of samples in the dataset and $z$ is sample age. Subsequently, the dataset is resampled with replacement, with sampling probability proportional to sample weight. This weighting produces a more even probability distribution of geographical variability, Fig. 57C and D) and behaviors the manual elimination of, e.g., duplicate analyses. Throughout resampling, each geochemical measurement (e.g., a single zircon Hf isotope ratio) is represented as a Gaussian random variable with a known mean and SD such that a new value is drawn from this distribution each time the dataset is resampled, thereby fully representing analytical uncertainty. Average results throughout Earth’s history are presented as an average and 2 SE of the mean for overlapping 90-Ma windows between 0 Ma and 4,350 Ma (e.g., Fig. 2). The global average zircon Hf and O isotope timeseries both record the recycling of preexisting crust into new magmas. Positive O isotope excursions above the mantle baseline (~5.5/mil) reflect the recycling of silicate crust that has undergone low-temperature aqueous alteration at Earth’s surface (i.e., sediment), while negative Hf isotope excursions reflect the recycling of old, felsic crust that has undergone less $^{176}$Hf ingrowth than the convecting mantle. Zircon Hf and O isotope averages vary through the supercontinent cycle as the proportion and preservation of arc, rift, and collisional magmatism vary (29–31); such normal variations are observed throughout the entirety of the preserved record, with roughly the expected periodicity (SI Appendix, Fig. S10). Compared with this normal tectonic background, the Neoproterozoic excursions are notable both in magnitude and in the covariance between Hf and O isotope records. While atypical O and Hf isotope characteristics of the Neoproterozoic zircon Hf and O isotope records (30, 31, 90), their systematic global covariance and the broader implications thereof have not been previously explored.
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estimating a value of +14° for the Neoproterozoic (SI Appendix, Fig. 5B). As seen in Fig. 2, the Neoproterozoic negative εHf excursion ranges from a baseline of +4 ε to a nadir of −8 ε, with a depth of 12 ε, with an average depth of 14ε, with a duration of the excursion. This average depth corresponds to 5.7/(14 ε−3) = 0.12 of the total range between average crust and depleted mantle, equivalent to shifting 12% of total continental magmatism over the duration of the excursion from a mantle source to a crustal source.

Phanerozoic estimates of rates of volcanic and plutonic magmatism in the continental crust suggest 3–8 km³/y of arc volcanism and plutonism along with 0.2–1.5 km³ of intraplate continental magmatism (93). More recent mass balance constraints suggest at least 3.8 km³/y of arc magmatism is required to avoid long-term crustal destruction. Consequently, we take 5 km³/y as a relatively conservative estimate of total continental magmatism. In this case, shifting 12% of continental magmatism from a mantle source to a crustal source over 400 My would require the recycling of some 2.4 × 10³ km³ of average crust. Such a volume corresponds to 1.61 vertical kilometers if distributed evenly over the 1.48 × 10² km² area of the continents. Considering that only a fraction of subducted Hf makes its way into new magnas (depletion of high-field-strength elements such as Hf is a characteristic signature of arc magmatism due to the immobility of these elements in aqueous slab fluids) (33), the true value is likely at least twice that, or ~5 × 10³ km³ if this recycling occurs via sediment subduction.

The Terrestrial Bolide Impact Record. To obtain an independent constraint on the timing and magnitude of Neoproterozoic erosion, we have examined the terrestrial impact crater record as compiled in the PASCSE Earth Impact Database (42), with age constraints updated where applicable. Since bolide impact craters necessarily occur at Earth’s surface, their resistance to erosion is a function of crater depth. Hypervelocity impact craters are characteristic of high-yield features, with an initial depth around 1/10th of their original diameter or less (94, 95), decreasing above 15 km diameter such that a Lunar impact crater of 100 km diameter may be only 4 km deep (95). Consequently, all but the largest terrestrial impact craters should be susceptible to erasure by Neoproterozoic glacial erosion. If the Neoproterozoic glacial erosion hypothesis is correct, we expect a dramatic decrease in impact crater preservation potential across the Cryogenian for all but the largest class of terrestrial impactors (96). This prediction is confirmed after normalizing the terrestrial impact record alone (Fig. 3A), the signal of preservation is better resolved by normalizing the impact record to the continental area that was available for impact cratering at some time in the past and is now again exposed at the surface.

We explore two such normalizations, (i) to the cumulative area of crust exposed today that is older than a given impact age and (ii) to the surface area of crust exposed today of the same age as a given impact crater (SI Appendix, Fig. S12 A and B). The first normalization (by cumulative exposed area, as seen in Fig. 3B and SI Appendix, Fig. S12D) is the most conservative in that the presently exposed area bedrock of age X Ma or greater is the maximum exposed surface area that could preserve an impact of age X Ma. This is a maximum extent because, for instance, 1-Ga bedrock may be exposed at 0.5 Ga, but deeply buried and thus unable to record an impact at that time.

The latter normalization (by the relative area of exposed crust of the same age as a given impact, within some binning resolution) is more aggressive but may be considered more natural for sedimentary or volcanic bedrock, which must have been exposed at the time of deposition and would thus have been available as a target for bolide impacts at that time. This normalization results in an even more dramatic discontinuity in preserved cratering rate across the Cryogenian (SI Appendix, Fig. S12C). The true preservation signal is likely intermediate between SI Appendix, Fig. S12 C and D, but in either scenario strikingly lower preservation potential is suggested for impact craters predating Neoproterozoic glacioclastation.

Continental Freeboard and the Sedimentary Record. The Great Unconformity is manifested in the macrostratigraphic record of continental sedimentation in the form of a series of approximately stepwise increases in preserved sediment abundance between approximately ~720 Ma and ~500 Ma (Figs. 2E and 4). In an erosional context, each step may be considered to reflect a decreasing probability of preexisting sediment having survived past a given glacial episode. For instance, sediments older than the Gaskiers may have survived only one Neoproterozoic glacioclastation, while sediments older than the Sturtian must have survived all three. Moreover, since erosive glaciation tends to capture the evidence of previous erosion, the largest abundance step (and most dramatic unconformity) may be inherited by the most recent glaciation, consistent with the results of Fig. 2F. For instance, if the Sturtian and Marinoan together were to erode ~3 km of crust, followed by 100 m of sedimentation between 635 Ma and 580 Ma, the Gaskiers need only erode 100 m of sediment to capture the entire (now) 3.1-km unconformity.

To quantify the consequences of Neoproterozoic erosion for continental freeboard and sediment accumulation, we constructed a 1D thermal and isotopic model of the continental crust and lithosphere. On approximately gigayear simulation timescales, isotopic adjustment is assumed to be effectively instantaneous, with postglacial viscos mantle rebound (45, 96) likely complete within a single-million-year model timestep. However, the thermal consequences of kilometer-scale erosion may be more protracted. To account for thermal subsidence as the advected geotherm decays back into equilibrium, along with the direct isotopic effects of erosion and sedimentation, our model assumes a coefficient of thermal expansion of 3× 10⁻⁶/K, a thermal diffusivity of 1 m²/s, an average crustal thickness of 33 km, an average density of 2,818 kg/m³ for the continental crust (97), a mantle density of 3,300 kg/m³, and a slightly buoyant mantle lithosphere (3,250 kg/m³) of 100-km thickness, for a total lithospheric thickness (crust + mantle lithosphere) of 133 km, generally intermediate between expected thermal (98) and elastic (96) lithospheric thicknesses. This model was then perturbed by various scenarios of erosion and sedimentation, with several kilometers of Neoproterozoic erosion followed by either continuous (0.9 km/My) or variable (Macrostrat derived, as in Fig. 1A) sedimentation rate. For the following model (Fig. 3C and SI Appendix, Fig. S13), the area of erosion was partitioned between the three Neoproterozoic glacial intervals in proportion to their duration. However, instead equally distributing erosion between all three glaciations has little impact on the results (SI Appendix, Fig. S14).

To better understand the implications of this model for continental emergence and sedimentation, the resulting freeboard curve was translated into a predicted continental coverage extent, using a present-day hypsometric envelope (SI Appendix, Fig. S15). The assumption of present-day hypsometry is notably imperfect, but presently unavoidable given an absence of independent constraints on past global hypsometry. Glaciation may significantly alter continental hypsometry—with the potential to either produce or destroy topographic contrast under different conditions (71). Consequently, the global hypsometric gradient is poorly constrained before the Neoproterozoic. This model suggests that preservation of near-modern hypsometry is more supportive closer to the present day (i.e., the past 500 My), which is perhaps unsurprisingly where model misfit is lower.

As illustrated in Fig. 4, the model results are remarkably consistent with the observed continental coverage extent curve, with continental coverage increasing dramatically in the aftermath of Neoproterozoic erosion and then slowly declining as continental sedimentation fills the available accommodation space. While the general agreement between model and observed coverage trends is quite good given the wide range of uncertainties involved, two particular intervals of misfit are apparent: (i) a period in the middle Cretaceous where observed coverage substantially exceeds model expectations and (ii) systematically lower than expected coverage before ~500 Ma.

A wide range of factors may introduce such misfit. First, no specific tectonic or orogenic events are included in our simple 1D model. In this context, the relatively low misfit after ~500 Ma is arguably surprising and suggests that the global rates of relevant local processes such as orogenesis and basin formation may not have varied wildly over the past 500 My. Systematic variation in mantle heat flow may change oceanic spreading rate (99) and midocean ridge height, thus changing average global sea level. Additional misfit may be introduced by erosional or nondepositional unconformity in the record subsequent to the initial Great Unconformity; continental emergence will be overestimated if we are missing the sediments by which we estimate coverage. Any change in the form of the terrestrial hypsometric profile between 800 Ma and today—likely, but difficult to test—would introduce error into the function mapping between continental freeboard and coverage extent. Finally, the accuracy of the observed coverage record is entirely dependent on the accuracy of the underlying geochronological constraints.

One might at first consider this Cretaceous anomaly as a regional bias reflecting the well-known Cretaceous Interior Seaway of North America (100, 101) attributable to, e.g., regional tectonics or dynamic topography. However, the Cretaceous has long been known as a time of anomalous floristion on multiple continents (102), and indeed a positive coverage anomaly is observed even in the coarser-timescale global record of Ronov (23) as seen in Fig. 4B. Consequently, this excursion may be more consistent with a global decrease in midocean ridge elevation and spreading
rate. While controversial, increased mantle heat flow in the Cretaceous has been proposed in conjunction with the Cretaceous Long Normal Superchron and the Kerguelen and Ontong–Java oceanic flood basalts plateau (103–105), potentially consistent with higher ocean ridge elevation and increased sea level for much of the Cretaceous.
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Fig. S1. The volumetric estimates of sedimentation on the continents compiled by Ronov and coauthors (1–15), as tabulated in Dataset S2. (a) Preserved sediment volumes for each continent, plotted cumulatively, in km$^3$ per year. (b) Volumetric flux, in km$^3$ per year per km$^2$ of continental surface area. All continents except Africa display a clear increase in preserved sediment volume and area-normalized sediment flux at the end of the Proterozoic.
Fig. S2. Comparison of Macrostrat with global records. (a) Comparison of Ronov and Macrostrat sedimentary rock volume estimates. Blue: Ronov’s (1) global record compared to a global scaling of the North American macrostrat record; that is, the Macrostrat sediment volume estimate for North America multiplied by the area of all continents divided by the area of North America (a factor of 6.1). Red: Ronov and coauthors’ (1–15) estimate for preserved sediment volume on North America alone compared to the Macrostrat sediment volume estimate for North America. (b) The fraction of continental area covered by marine sediment, as estimated by Macrostrat and three global records: one compiled by Ronov (16), and two compiled by Egyed (17) on the basis of independent paleogeographic atlases, one due to Strahov (18) and the other to Termier & Termier (19).
Fig. S3. Exposed surface area of sedimentary and metasedimentary rock as a function of depositional age, derived from the Geological Survey of Canada Generalized Geological Map of the World (20). In comparison to the sedimentary volume record of e.g. Fig. S1, this exposure-area record is significantly biased towards young Tertiary strata because it considers only the exposed uppermost strata of Earth’s sedimentary shell. Nonetheless, a major increase in exposed area per unit depositional time is apparent at Proterozoic-Phanerozoic boundary.
Fig. S4. Effects of continuous and discontinuous erosion in a model with constant 0.9 km$^3$/yr sediment input prior to erosion. Following Gregor (21), erosion is assumed to consume preexisting crust in proportion to its abundance. (a): Preserved sediment volume per unit time for several imposed continuous and discontinuous erosion scenarios. (b): Mass of sediment eroded per event or per unit time, for the same scenarios as in (a). In the discontinuous erosion scenarios, erosion occurs during one or more discrete erosional events, producing step functions in preserved sediment volume. The strength of a given discrete erosional event is specified in terms of a loss factor: $L = 0.8$ specifies an erosional event in which 80% of all accumulated sediment present at the time of the event is eroded. The erosion rate in continuous erosion models, meanwhile, is determined by the decay constant $\lambda$, with units of 1/Myr. Discontinuous models with $N$ equally-spaced events of identical $L$ converge towards the exponential form of continuous erosion as $N$ becomes large. Note, for instance, the correspondence in preserved volume between the discrete model where 10% of the crust is lost every 100 Myr, and the continuous model with $\lambda = 0.001$/Myr (i.e., 10%/100 Myr).
Fig. S5. Geographic distribution (by continent) of zircon Hf and O isotope analyses as a function of zircon U-Pb crystallization age in 200 Myr bins from 0-4.4 Ga. While relative abundances vary episodically in response to tectonic processes, no single continent dominates after 4 Ga.
Fig. S6. All data points in the raw compiled zircon Hf and O (b) isotope datasets. The Hf isotope record (a) is constrained by the composition of the depleted mantle as a rough upper bound and the composition of a hypothetical preserved 4.5 Ga lithology with Lu/Hf = 0 as a strict lower bound. The zircon O isotope record is drawn to supra-mantle $\delta^{18}$O by assimilation of siliciclastic sediment or silicate rock that has undergone low-temperature aqueous alteration.
Fig. S7. Zircon age distributions of the raw dataset (prior) and the bootstrap-resampled dataset (posterior). Sample weighting results in a visibly more even posterior distribution.
Fig. S8. The importance of sediment subduction to arc magma Hf isotope systematics is demonstrated by the clear variation in average zircon $\varepsilon$Hf as a function of present day trench sediment thickness for arc zircons younger than 100 Ma within 5 arc degrees of a trench of known sediment thickness following the sediment thickness maps of Heuret et al. (22) and the geospatially-resolved zircon Hf database of Bataille et al. (23). These data are resampled to accurately represent uncertainty in trench sediment thickness and binned in 1 km intervals. Extreme zircon Hf isotope compositions below -25 $\varepsilon$Hf are excluded, though this does not visibly influence the resulting trend.
Fig. S9. Determination of sediment subduction signatures. (a) The zircon εHf record detrended, inverted, and standardized to unit variance; larger values indicate more recycling of old crust into new magmatic zircon. (b) The zircon δ18O record, detrended and standardized to unit variance; larger values indicate more recycling of surficially altered crust. (c) The covariance between a and b. (d) The average slope of a and b. (e) The product of c and d, color coded blue at times of positive covariance and red at times of negative covariance. As explained in the Methods, sediment subduction events should appear as pairwise excursions with a positive peak for initiation and negative one for recovery.
Fig. S10. Periodogram of the zircon Hf and O isotope records, highlighting substantial spectral power at 500-700 Myr periods consistent with tectonic cyclicity.
Fig. S11. Illustration of the competition between local tectonic subsidence and regional isostatic uplift under the influence of regional erosion. 1: Accommodation space is produced by local rifting. 2: Erosion removes regional uplands (horsts, in this case). 3: Regional isostatic rebound. 4: The tectonically-produced basin is now subject to destruction by continued regional erosion. The basin will survive if the rate of tectonic subsidence meets or exceeds the rate of regional erosion. If the rate of tectonic subsidence exactly matches the regional isostatic uplift from upland erosion, the basin will survive intact but with no new accumulation of sediments during the interval of regional erosion. In reality, isostatic rebound will occur continuously in concert with erosion; the two are separated here only to illustrate the underlying principle.
Fig. S12. Comparison of direct versus cumulative area normalizations of impact cratering rate. (a) Age distribution of continental bedrock exposed at the present day, derived from the Geological Survey of Canada Generalized Geological Map of the World (20). (b) Normalized cumulative age distribution of continental bedrock, obtained by integrating \( \alpha \) from 4 Ga to time \( t \). The cumulative total (1.0) is equal to the area of the continents, or \( 1.489 \times 10^8 \) km\(^2\). (c) Impact cratering rate normalized by raw bedrock exposure from \( a \); for sedimentary and volcanic bedrock, we know that this bedrock must have been exposed and susceptible for impact cratering at the time of deposition. (d) Impact cratering rate normalized by cumulative bedrock exposure from \( b \).
Fig. S13. The effect of variable magnitudes of Neoproterozoic erosion in an isostatic global sea level and continental coverage model. Here, the magnitude of glacial exhumation is varied between 1 and 9 km. Present-day sea level prior to the Neoproterozoic is reproduced with ∼4.5 km glacial erosion in the constant sedimentation model (a), and ∼3.5 km in the variable sedimentation model (b).
Fig. S14. Isostatic global sea level and continental coverage model, as in Fig. 4, but with glacial erosion equally distributed between the three glacial episodes. (a) Temporal evolution in average continental freeboard driven by erosion, subsequent thermal subsidence, and sediment accumulation. (b) Corresponding continental coverage fraction.
Fig. S15. Earth’s present-day hypsometric curve, calculated from the ETOPO1 1 Arc-Minute Global Relief Model (24). The contrast between more dense oceanic crust and more buoyant continental crust is reflected in the clear bimodality in the distribution of elevations. (a) An estimate of Earth’s elevation distribution based on the elevation of ETOPO1 grid cells. (b) Earth’s cumulative elevation distribution, obtained by integrating a from high to low elevation. A cumulative area of 1.0 corresponds to the total surface area of the Earth.
Fig. S16. Global correspondence between Precambrian basement exposures and Phanerozoic glaciation, as first noted by White (1972) (25). (a) Major exposures of Precambrian basement from the Geological Survey of Canada Generalized geological map of the world (20) overlain with the maximum extent of Cenozoic glaciation as compiled by Ehlers and Gibbard (26). (b) The extent of the Late Paleozoic Ice Age, modified with permission from ref. (27). Virtually all non-orogenic Precambrian basement exposures not covered by Pleistocene glaciation are of Gondwanan affinity, and were likely glaciated during the Late Paleozoic Ice Age. The correspondence between Gondwanan LPIA glaciation and basement exposure is less complete than that between Laurentide glaciation and the Canadian shield (Fig. 5), given that significant proportions of the glaciated area have been covered by Mesozoic and Cenozoic sediment in the intervening ~250 Myr.
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